Designing High-Density Wi-Fi in a Stadium Environment: A Recent Project Completed

https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/designing-high-density-wi-fi-stadium-environment-jarryd-de-oliveira-rkzte

Stadium Wi-Fi is one of the toughest environments we work in as wireless engineers.

Large open spaces, rapidly shifting RF conditions, tens of thousands of mobile devices and a mix of operational needs all collide into a single design challenge.

Earlier this year I completed a stadium Wi-Fi upgrade where the initial brief sounded simple enough: improve fan connectivity, stabilise operational devices and bring the WLAN up to modern 2025 standards.

Once on site, it quickly became clear that this would require a complete redesign backed by accurate measurements, detailed modelling and proper validation to achieve the performance the venue needed.

Rather than naming the venue, I want to focus on the technical process behind it, because the lessons apply to any high-density outdoor or semi-outdoor deployment.

Understanding the Real RF Environment

Every stadium has its own RF personality.

Before proposing anything, I carried out an active survey using Ekahau and a test outdoor AP to simulate real propagation conditions.

What immediately stood out was that coverage wasn't the main problem - *capacity* and *hardware health* were.

Two access points were completely offline, one serving a major concourse area and one feeding a central seating block, instantly creating service gaps and forcing surrounding APs to absorb the load.

That alone confirmed we weren't dealing with a simple "tuning exercise" but a structural redesign .

Spectrally, the stadium was clean on the survey day, but anyone who has designed for sports venues knows how quickly that changes. A few thousand fans arrive, the body attenuation spikes, noise floor rises and both 5 GHz and 2.4 GHz behave very differently from an empty building.

This aligns with established RF guidance: human density, reflective surfaces and open-air propagation create unpredictable multipath and co-channel contention hotspots.

Designing for Capacity, Not Just Coverage

I built two design paths for the customer, each using different mounting strategies to suit the physical environment. This mirrors modern stadium design practice, where antenna selection and placement have a bigger impact than the AP model itself.

1. Canopy-mounted directional coverage

Placing APs along the canopy with sector antennas offered:

- Strong line-of-sight into the seating bowl
- Tight vertical beam control to minimise spill into neighbouring stands
- Easy maintenance access

This approach works well when structural height allows you to "look down" into the audience and shape RF energy with predictable patterns.

2. Under-seat APs for dense seating blocks

Under-seat designs continue to gain popularity in arenas for good reason.

Mounting APs below seating, angled upward through human attenuation, offered:

- Exceptional SNR at short distances
- Naturally contained cells for cleaner frequency reuse
- Reduced visual impact

Both models were simulated in Ekahau, targeting -67 dBm primary coverage and ≥25 dB SNR - values widely accepted as best practice in high-density networks .

Validating the Model with AP-on-a-Stick Testing

Because predictive modelling is only as good as the assumptions behind it, I validated both strategies using AP-on-a-Stick testing with a Sidekick 2.

This allowed me to:

- Measure actual propagation behaviour from proposed mounting positions
- Understand how RF interacted with canopies, railings and seating geometry
- Identify which design delivered more stable SNR and less CCI at client height

This stage is critical in any stadium project.

Propagation angles are everything, a few degrees of tilt can be the difference between a clean, isolated cell and a coverage flood that destroys spectral efficiency.

Selecting the Optimal Strategy

The testing confirmed what many stadium engineers already know: canopy-mounted APs offer broad, predictable coverage, but under-seat APs outperform them when it comes to handling thousands of closely packed devices.

The customer chose a hybrid model that balanced operational practicality with match-day performance.

We paired this with modern RF principles:

- Consistent 20 MHz channels in 2.4 GHz, limited use of DFS where appropriate in 5 GHz, and clean high-capacity lanes in 6 GHz for new devices
- Mandatory data rates trimmed to remove legacy modulation overhead, improving airtime efficiency in dense crowds
- Tight transmit-power control to prevent oversized cells and runaway co-channel contention
- Validation against MCS rates and client throughput expectations for both infrastructure and operational handhelds

Operational Improvements Beyond RF

Two offline APs in critical locations highlighted another core requirement: ongoing WLAN health monitoring.

Stadium environments are unforgiving on hardware with weather, vibration and environmental exposure all accelerate failure.

We built a proactive monitoring framework so hardware faults can be addressed before they impact thousands of users.

Final Thoughts

Stadium Wi-Fi isn’t about “making the bars go green.”

It’s a balance of physics, design discipline and real-world validation.

Every environment behaves differently and the only way to guarantee a reliable, high-capacity network is to combine predictive modelling with measured data and the right RF strategy.

This project was a reminder of what separates everyday wireless work from true high-density engineering:

- Design for capacity, not coverage
- Build small, predictable RF cells
- Validate everything - twice
- Tune based on real user behaviour, not assumptions

Another stadium ready for the next season, built on the fundamentals that always deliver: good measurements, good modelling and good RF discipline.

Revision #1
Created 5 December 2025 06:07:29 by Jarryd
Updated 5 December 2025 06:08:19 by Jarryd